|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Interviewee** | **Meaningful Interaction** | **Opinion on Intergroup Contact Theory** | **Process of Telling** |
| **Jerusalem Interviewees** | More interaction in the past (pre-Second Intifada)  Used to be more mixing before  Anti-normalisation movement limits contact  Very limited interaction  Forced interaction, unwanted kind of contact  Limited to instrumental, not social  Still better than no interaction at all  Often first experience is between solider and civilian and checkpoint  A lot of negative interaction recently  Unequal interaction so not positive  More contact won’t change the situation | Could have worked in the past, but not in the current situation  Just being near one another won’t necessarily lead to a positive outcome  Benign interaction in parks and malls is not enough  But mutual presence still has a value  Theory is too simplistic and naive  Theory can’t work in current situation in Jerusalem  Theory depends on the context  Not much contact happens in most public spaces  Contact doesn’t necessarily lead to friendship but still necessary  More interaction better than more division | Items of clothing, especially religious clothing  Rather obvious for some  Fairly difficult for others  Internalised categorisation process  Some cases where it’s blurred (between Mizrahi and Palestinian youths)  Natural process, not necessarily negative  Sometimes unexplainable, but there is a look  Body language |
| **Belfast Interviewees** | Improved relations across the city  Still issue of lack of trust and confidence  Society still pretty much segregated  Not much interaction at interface areas  Still degree of violence and confrontation  Interaction often limited to community centres in controlled settings  Restricted interaction  Not a lot of meaningful interaction | Can help to disprove stereotypes  Depends on what happens in the space  Context of the space is not taken into account by theory  Important to provide opportunity for contact  Contact probably limited to peaceful coexistence, not friendship  Need to encourage meaningful interaction as conversation does help change perceptions  Often superficial contact | Names  Place of residence  School one went to and uniforms  Some question are very revealing  Jewellery  Colours in clothing  Still conscious but not as important as before  Similar process happens everywhere  Clothing (especially sports tops)  Natural process  Not easy to tell  Pronunciation of letters A and H  Telling still very alive and well  Many people do it without even being conscious of it  Not necessarily negative process  Can’t tell but there are tell signs |
| **Brussels Interviewees** | Improved relations due to people being more open to learn the language of the other community compared to the past  But not much interaction  Remain two worlds ignoring each other  Only occasionally collaboration  Peaceful and calm relations generally  Decreasing number of Dutch speakers in Brussels is also limiting opportunities for interaction  Basic dynamic is of drifting apart  Interaction premised on being bilingual  Interaction has improved among civil society and arts sector  But daily life remains divided  More than before, but still not the majority interacting | Most public shared spaces don’t necessarily lead to meaningful contact  Theory provides fairly naive interpretation  Theory relatively valid depending on context such as bilingual theatres  Contact can lead to positive outcomes  Depends on kind of contact  Usually like-minded people who are happy to meet  Theory very rarely yields positive outcomes  Contact can lead to enforce identity limits  Negative contact in periphery  Bilingual education would probably yield better contact results  Has been scientifically proven recently | Not possible to visually distinguish Dutch and French speakers  Only tell sign is language, which is very obvious and accents  Sometimes clothing style  Maybe physical features such as hair colour  Not necessarily negative process, fairly natural  Sometimes affiliated with socio-economic signs such as signs of wealth  Telling not a widespread phenomenon in Brussels |