

Self-identification with a virtual experience and its moderating effect on self-efficacy and presence

Data analysis in R

Ni Kang, Ding Ding, M. Birna van Riemsdijk, Nekhmedin Morina, Mark A. Neerincx, and Willem-Paul Brinkman

31/12/2019

Contents

Introduction	2
Data files	2
Data files for analysis	2
Functions	2
Data preparation	3
Results reported in Section 3. Participants	3
Analysis for demographic data: age	3
Analysis for demographic data: gender	4
Analysis for demographic data: Nationality – skin colour	4
Results reported in Section 4. Data preparation and statistical analysis	5
Reliability analysis on Self-identification(SID)	5
Reliability test for Presence response(PR)	6
Reliability analysis on Presence response(PR) during passive virtual experience.	6
Reliability analysis on Presence response(PR) during post-measurement presentation.	6
Reliability test for Capability comparison	6
Reliability analysis on Presentation performance(PP).	7
Results reported in Section 5. Results	7
Results reported in Table 3	7
PRCS	7
Age	8
IQT	10
Capability comparison	12
Virtual performance	13
Self-Identification (SID)	14
SUD	16
HR	19
PR	22
Self-efficacy	25
Self-esteem	28
Presentation Performance	31
Speech length	32
Section 5.1 Manipulation check	33
Section 5.2 Overall analyses on self-efficacy across the phases	35
Section 5.3 Moderating effect of self-identification	38
Section 5.3.1. Performance in the vicarious experience and self-efficacy afterwards	38
Section 5.3.2. Self-efficacy	39

Section 5.3.3 Presence response	40
Section 5.4 Potential effect of skin colour on self-efficacy	42
R version information	43

Introduction

This document presents inferential statistical analyses of participants' PRCS, ITQ, Capability comparison, Virtual performance, Self-identification, SUD, HR, Presence response in vicarious experience with different level of self-identification (Low vs High self-identification) in different sessions as reported in the paper:

Self-identification with a virtual experience and its moderating effect on self-efficacy and presence

Authored by Ni Kang, Ding Ding, M. Birna van Riemsdijk, Neshmedin Morina, Mark A. Neerincx, and Willem-Paul Brinkman.

```
library(pander) #for rendering output
library(foreign)
library(car)
library(ggplot2)
library(nlme)
library(reshape)
library(lattice)
library(MASS)
library(psych)
library(pwr)
library(ggpubr)
library(dplyr)
library(lsr)
library(outliers)
library(coin)
library(lme4)
library(ez)
library(afex)
library(Hmisc)
library(rmcorr)
library(cocor)
```

Data files

Data files for analysis

Data files is stored in all_data.csv

Functions

```
testbetween <-function(sample1,sample2)
{
  # x integer data being tested
  # g group

  # convert group into factor:
  #g <- factor(g)
  x<-c(sample1, sample2)
```

```

g<-as.factor(c(rep(1, length(sample1)), rep(2, length(sample2)))) 

l<-leveneTest(x,g)
if (l$`Pr(>F)`[1]<.05)
{
  cat("\nLevene's test shows sign difference between the group variance, therefore Welch Two Sample t-test is more appropriate")
  print(t.test(x~g, na.rm = TRUE, var.equal = FALSE))
} else
{
  cat("\nLevene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test is more appropriate")
  print(t.test(x~g, na.rm = TRUE, var.equal = TRUE))
}
}

print_ezANOVA <- function(m){
  #print output ezANOVA, including effectsize eta, and Greenhouse-Geisser corrected dfs
  print(m)

  m$ANOVA$eta <- m$ANOVA$SSn/(m$ANOVA$SSn + m$ANOVA$SSd)
  for (i in 1:length(m$ANOVA$Effect))
  { cat(m$ANOVA$Effect[i], " : eta ", m$ANOVA$eta[i], " \n") }
  cat("see ges-value for Generalized Eta-Squared) \n\n")

  cat("Greenhouse-Geisser corrected Dfs. \n")
  for (i in 1:length(m`Sphericity Corrections`$Effect))
  {
    for (j in 1:length(m$ANOVA$Effect)) {
      if (m$ANOVA$Effect[j] == m`Sphericity Corrections`$Effect[i]){
        m`Sphericity Corrections`$DFn_G[i] <- m$ANOVA$DFn[j] * m`Sphericity Corrections`$GGe[i]
        m`Sphericity Corrections`$DFd_G[i] <- m$ANOVA$DFd[j] * m`Sphericity Corrections`$GGe[i]
        cat(m`Sphericity Corrections`$Effect[i],
            " numerator ", m`Sphericity Corrections`$DFn_G[i], " , denominator ", m`Sphericity Corrections`$DFd_G[i])
      }
    }
  }
}

```

Data preparation

```

setwd("/Users/ding/Documents/Ni second paper/data")
VirtualExperience<- read.csv("all_data.csv", header = TRUE, sep=",")
Length<- read.csv("Length.csv", header = TRUE, sep=";")

```

Results reported in Section 3. Participants

Analysis for demographic data: age

```
describe(VirtualExperience$age)
```

```
## VirtualExperience$age
```

```

##      n  missing distinct      Info      Mean      Gmd      .05      .10
##      60       0       18    0.993     26.3     5.072    20.00    20.90
##      .25      .50      .75      .90      .95
##      23.00    26.00    29.00    32.00    34.05
##
##      Value      19      20      21      22      23      24      25      26      27      28
##      Frequency   1       5       3       2       7       4       6       8       3       4
##      Proportion 0.017  0.083  0.050  0.033  0.117  0.067  0.100  0.133  0.050  0.067
##
##      Value      29      30      31      32      34      35      37      42
##      Frequency   5       2       3       2       2       1       1       1
##      Proportion 0.083  0.033  0.050  0.033  0.033  0.017  0.017  0.017

```

Analysis for demographic data: gender

- “1” respresents for male, “2” respresents for female

```
count(VirtualExperience,gender)
```

```

## Warning: The `printer` argument is deprecated as of rlang 0.3.0.
## This warning is displayed once per session.

```

```

## # A tibble: 2 x 2
##   gender     n
##   <int> <int>
## 1     1     36
## 2     2     24

```

```
count(VirtualExperience[VirtualExperience$cond==1],gender)
```

```

## # A tibble: 2 x 2
##   gender     n
##   <int> <int>
## 1     1     18
## 2     2     12

```

```
count(VirtualExperience[VirtualExperience$cond==0],gender)
```

```

## # A tibble: 2 x 2
##   gender     n
##   <int> <int>
## 1     1     18
## 2     2     12

```

Analysis for demographic data: Nationality – skin colour

```
Nationality<-count(VirtualExperience,nationality)
```

```

#Nationality[Nationality$nationality=="brazilian"|
#                  Nationality$nationality=="Brazilian"|
#                  Nationality$nationality=="Indonesia"|
#                  Nationality$nationality=="Indonesian"|
#                  Nationality$nationality=="Mexican"|
#                  Nationality$nationality=="Thai"|
#                  Nationality$nationality=="singaporean",]

```

```

#ParticipantswithDarkSkin<-Nationality[Nationality$nationality=="brazilian" /
#                               Nationality$nationality=="Brazilian" /
#                               Nationality$nationality=="Indonesia" /
#                               Nationality$nationality=="Indonesian" /
#                               Nationality$nationality=="Mexican" /
#                               Nationality$nationality=="Thai" /
#                               Nationality$nationality=="singaporean",]

ParticipantswithDarkSkin<-Nationality[Nationality$nationality=="Indian" | Nationality$nationality=="Indon

sum(ParticipantswithDarkSkin$n)

## [1] 8

VirtualExperience_LightSkin<-subset(VirtualExperience, !(VirtualExperience$nationality=="Indian" | Virtual

```

Results reported in Section 4. Data preparation and statistical analysis

Cronbach's alpha was calculated for the questionnaires containing multiple items, such as Capability comparison, Presence response(PR), Presentation performance(PP), and Self-identification(SID). Reliability analysis shows acceptable reliability level (Cronbach's alpha >.7).

Reliability analysis on Self-identification(SID).

*Q4 is a revere scale, therefore the data of self_id_2 has to be reversed.

*Q6 has been removed because of the low correlation with other questions.

```

VE_SID<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,19,24,25,26,27,28,30)]
VE_SID[] <- lapply(VE_SID, function(x) as.numeric(as.character(x)))
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 6] <- 11
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 7] <- 12
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 8] <- 13
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 9] <- 14
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 10] <- 15
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 0] <- 10
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 1] <- 9
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 2] <- 8
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 3] <- 7
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 4] <- 6
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 11] <- 4
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 12] <- 3
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 13] <- 2
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 14] <- 1
VE_SID$self_id_2[VE_SID$self_id_2 == 15] <- 0
alpha_SID<-alpha(VE_SID[c(3:9)])
alpha_SID$total

```

```

##   raw_alpha std.alpha   G6(smc) average_r      S/N          ase      mean
##   0.8291767 0.8384205 0.8637615 0.4257072 5.188904 0.03386241 5.466667
##           sd  median_r
##   2.024216 0.4164972

```

Reliability test for Presence response(PR)

Reliability analysis on Presence response(PR) during passive virtual experience.

```
VE_PR<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,35:37,43:45)]
VE_PR[] <- lapply(VE_PR, function(x) as.numeric(as.character(x)))
alpha_VE<-alpha(VE_PR[3:5])
alpha_VE$total

## raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N      ase      mean      sd
## 0.850324 0.8545243 0.8350774 0.6619337 5.874 0.03501139 4.205556 2.34267
## median_r
## 0.7322882
```

Reliability analysis on Presence response(PR) during post-measurement presentation.

```
alpha_Post<-alpha(VE_PR[6:8])
alpha_Post$total

## raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r      S/N      ase      mean
## 0.8805197 0.8852194 0.8414663 0.7199475 7.712277 0.02671141 6.522222
## sd median_r
## 2.036731 0.7467201
```

Reliability test for Capability comparison

```
VE_Capability<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,17,31,33)]
VE_Capability[] <- lapply(VE_Capability, function(x) as.numeric(as.character(x)))
alpha(VE_Capability[4:5])

## Warning in matrix(unlist(drop.item), ncol = 10, byrow = TRUE): data length
## [16] is not a sub-multiple or multiple of the number of columns [10]

##
## Reliability analysis
## Call: alpha(x = VE_Capability[4:5])
##
## raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N      ase      mean      sd median_r
## 0.8        0.8       0.67       0.67     4 0.051      5 1.7       0.67
##
## lower alpha upper    95% confidence boundaries
## 0.7 0.8 0.9
##
## Reliability if an item is dropped:
## raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N alpha se var.r
## comp_capability      0.67       0.67       0.45       0.67  NA      NA 0.67
## comp_perform         0.45       0.67       NA         NA  NA      NA 0.45
## med.r
## comp_capability      0.67
## comp_perform         0.67
##
## Item statistics
##          n raw.r std.r r.cor r.drop mean   sd
## comp_capability 60  0.92  0.91  0.75   0.67  4.9 1.8
## comp_perform    60  0.91  0.91  0.75   0.67  5.1 1.8
```

Reliability analysis on Presentation performance(PP).

```
VE_PP<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,40,41)]
VE_PP[] <- lapply(VE_PP, function(x) as.numeric(as.character(x)))
alpha_PP<-alpha(VE_PP[c(3:4)])

## Warning in matrix(unlist(drop.item), ncol = 10, byrow = TRUE): data length
## [16] is not a sub-multiple or multiple of the number of columns [10]
alpha_PP$total

##   raw_alpha std.alpha   G6(smc) average_r      S/N       ase   mean
##   0.7668503 0.7730492 0.6300572 0.6300572 3.406241 0.05891707 4.725
##           sd   median_r
##   2.227382 0.6300572
```

Results reported in Section 5. Results

Results reported in Table 3

- Descriptive statistics of the measures, Mean (SD), and results of independent t-tests between conditions and correlation with the self-efficacy

PRCS

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```
VE_PRCS<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,8,9)]
PRCS_High<-subset(VE_PRCS,cond==0)
PRCS_Low<-subset(VE_PRCS,cond==1)
describeBy(VE_PRCS,VE_PRCS$cond)

##
##  Descriptive statistics by group
##  group: 0
##          vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range
##  ID1          1 30 31.27 18.99     29   30.92 24.46    2   63   61
##  cond          2 30  0.00  0.00     0    0.00  0.00    0    0    0
##  self_efficacy_1 3 30  6.87  2.47     7   7.08  2.97    1   10    9
##  PRCS          4 30 12.03  6.53    12  11.79  8.15    2   28   26
##          skew kurtosis   se
##  ID1          0.12   -1.43 3.47
##  cond          NaN      NaN 0.00
##  self_efficacy_1 -0.68   -0.47 0.45
##  PRCS          0.32   -0.74 1.19
##  -----
##  group: 1
##          vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range
##  ID1          1 30 31.83 18.18    33.0   32.04 20.76    1   61   60
##  cond          2 30  1.00  0.00     1.0    1.00  0.00    1    1    0
##  self_efficacy_1 3 30  7.07  2.50     7.5   7.33  2.22    2   10    8
##  PRCS          4 30 12.47  6.39    12.0   12.12  8.15    2   25   23
##          skew kurtosis   se
##  ID1          -0.09   -1.24 3.32
##  cond          NaN      NaN 0.00
##  self_efficacy_1 -0.74   -0.60 0.46
```

```

## PRCS          0.34    -1.08 1.17
testbetween(PRCs_High$PRCS, PRCs_Low$PRCS)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = -0.25969, df = 58, p-value = 0.796
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -3.773507 2.906841
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##           12.03333           12.46667

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r
cor_PRCSSSE <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_PRCs), type="pearson")
cor_PRCSSSE$P

##           ID1      cond self_efficacy_1          PRCS
## ID1          NA 0.9064112 8.035086e-01 4.283053e-01
## cond         0.9064112       NA 7.567637e-01 7.960222e-01
## self_efficacy_1 0.8035086 0.7567637             NA 5.799742e-05
## PRCS         0.4283053 0.7960222 5.799742e-05       NA
cor_PRCSSSE$P[3,4]

## [1] 5.799742e-05
cor_PRCSSSE$r

##           ID1      cond self_efficacy_1          PRCS
## ID1          1.00000000 0.01550298 0.03280249 -0.10417172
## cond         0.01550298 1.00000000 0.04082861 0.03407921
## self_efficacy_1 0.03280249 0.04082861 1.00000000 -0.49501966
## PRCS        -0.10417172 0.03407921 -0.49501966 1.00000000
cor_PRCSSSE$r[3,4]

## [1] -0.4950197

```

Age

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```

VE_Age<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,5,8)]
describe(VE_Age$age)

```

```

## VE_Age$age
##      n   missing distinct     Info      Mean      Gmd      .05      .10
##      60       0      18  0.993    26.3    5.072   20.00   20.90
##      .25      .50      .75     .90     .95
##      23.00    26.00    29.00   32.00   34.05
##
##      Value      19      20      21      22      23      24      25      26      27      28

```

```

## Frequency      1      5      3      2      7      4      6      8      3      4
## Proportion  0.017  0.083  0.050  0.033  0.117  0.067  0.100  0.133  0.050  0.067
##
## Value         29     30     31     32     34     35     37     42
## Frequency     5      2      3      2      2      1      1      1
## Proportion  0.083  0.033  0.050  0.033  0.033  0.017  0.017  0.017
mean(VE_Age$age)

## [1] 26.3
sd(VE_Age$age)

## [1] 4.59292

Age_High<-subset(VE_Age,cond==0)
Age_Low<-subset(VE_Age,cond==1)
describeBy(VE_Age,VE_Age$cond)

##
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##          vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range
## ID1           1 30 31.27 18.99     29   30.92 24.46    2  63   61
## cond          2 30  0.00  0.00      0   0.00  0.00    0   0    0
## age           3 30 26.27  4.07     26   26.12  4.45   20  34   14
## self_efficacy_1 4 30  6.87  2.47      7   7.08  2.97    1  10    9
##                      skew kurtosis   se
## ID1            0.12     -1.43 3.47
## cond           NaN      NaN 0.00
## age            0.20     -1.01 0.74
## self_efficacy_1 -0.68     -0.47 0.45
## -----
## group: 1
##          vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range
## ID1           1 30 31.83 18.18    33.0   32.04 20.76    1  61   60
## cond          2 30  1.00  0.00     1.0   1.00  0.00    1   1    0
## age           3 30 26.33  5.13     25.5   25.71  3.71   19  42   23
## self_efficacy_1 4 30  7.07  2.50      7.5   7.33  2.22    2  10    8
##                      skew kurtosis   se
## ID1            -0.09     -1.24 3.32
## cond           NaN      NaN 0.00
## age            1.13     1.25 0.94
## self_efficacy_1 -0.74     -0.60 0.46

testbetween(Age_High$age,Age_Low$age)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = -0.05574, df = 58, p-value = 0.9557
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -2.460789  2.327456

```

```

## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##          26.26667      26.33333

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r
cor_AgeSE <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_Age), type="pearson")
cat("\n## The correlation check\n")

##
## ## The correlation check
cor_AgeSE$P

##           ID1      cond      age self_efficacy_1
## ID1          NA 0.9064112 0.5190633      0.8035086
## cond          0.9064112       NA 0.9557407      0.7567637
## age           0.5190633 0.9557407       NA      0.2197963
## self_efficacy_1 0.8035086 0.7567637 0.2197963      NA

cor_AgeSE$P[3,4]

## [1] 0.2197963
cor_AgeSE$r

##           ID1      cond      age self_efficacy_1
## ID1 1.00000000 0.015502981 -0.084877084      0.03280249
## cond 0.01550298 1.000000000  0.007318793      0.04082861
## age -0.08487708 0.007318793  1.000000000      0.16076309
## self_efficacy_1 0.03280249 0.040828610  0.160763088      1.00000000

cor_AgeSE$r[3,4]

## [1] 0.1607631

```

IQT

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```

VE_IQT<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,8,14)]
IQT_High<-subset(VE_IQT,cond==0)
IQT_Low<-subset(VE_IQT,cond==1)
describeBy(VE_IQT,VE_IQT$cond)

##
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##           vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range
## ID1          1 30 31.27 18.99    29.0   30.92 24.46    2  63   61
## cond         2 30  0.00  0.00     0.0    0.00  0.00     0  0    0
## self_efficacy_1 3 30  6.87  2.47     7.0    7.08  2.97     1 10    9
## ITQ          4 30 70.10 11.63    70.5   70.17  8.90    44  96   52
##           skew kurtosis   se
## ID1        0.12   -1.43 3.47
## cond        NaN      NaN 0.00
## self_efficacy_1 -0.68   -0.47 0.45
## ITQ        -0.11   -0.03 2.12
## -----

```

```

## group: 1
##          vars   n  mean    sd median trimmed   mad min max range
## ID1           1 30 31.83 18.18    33.0   32.04 20.76   1  61   60
## cond          2 30  1.00  0.00     1.0    1.00  0.00   1   1   0
## self_efficacy_1 3 30  7.07  2.50     7.5    7.33  2.22   2  10   8
## ITQ           4 30 62.73 11.08    61.5   62.38 12.60  41  88   47
##              skew kurtosis   se
## ID1        -0.09    -1.24 3.32
## cond         NaN      NaN 0.00
## self_efficacy_1 -0.74    -0.60 0.46
## ITQ         0.27    -0.68 2.02
testbetween(IQT_High$ITQ,IQT_Low$ITQ)

```

```

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = 2.5126, df = 58, p-value = 0.01479
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  1.497826 13.235508
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
## 70.10000       62.73333

```

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r

```

cor_IQTSE <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_IQT), type="pearson")
cat("\n## The corrlation check\n")

```

```

##
## ## The corrlation check
cor_IQTSE$p

##          ID1      cond self_efficacy_1      ITQ
## ID1           NA 0.90641117 0.8035086 0.43218039
## cond          0.9064112  NA 0.7567637 0.01478766
## self_efficacy_1 0.8035086 0.75676367  NA 0.32601479
## ITQ           0.4321804 0.01478766 0.3260148  NA
cor_IQTSE$p[3,4]

```

```

## [1] 0.3260148

```

```

cor_IQTSE$r

```

```

##          ID1      cond self_efficacy_1      ITQ
## ID1           1.00000000 0.01550298 0.03280249 -0.1033046
## cond          0.01550298 1.00000000 0.04082861 -0.3133082
## self_efficacy_1 0.03280249 0.04082861 1.00000000 0.1289805
## ITQ           -0.10330461 -0.31330817 0.12898054 1.0000000
cor_IQTSE$r[3,4]

```

```

## [1] 0.1289805

```

Capability comparison

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```

VE_Capability_Formalized<- data.frame(VE_Capability$ID1,VE_Capability$cond,VE_Capability$self_efficacy)
colnames(VE_Capability_Formalized) <- c("ID", "cond", "SE", "Capability")
Capability_High<-subset(VE_Capability_Formalized,cond==0)
Capability_Low<-subset(VE_Capability_Formalized,cond==1)
describeBy(VE_Capability_Formalized,VE_Capability_Formalized$cond)

##
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##      vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range skew
## ID       1 30 31.27 18.99     29   30.92 24.46 2.0   63  61.0  0.12
## cond     2 30  0.00  0.00      0   0.00  0.00 0.0     0  0.0  NaN
## SE       3 30  5.10  2.66      5   4.92  2.97 2.0   10  8.0  0.42
## Capability 4 30  5.02  1.58      5   5.10  1.48 0.5     8  7.5 -0.62
##           kurtosis   se
## ID          -1.43 3.47
## cond         NaN 0.00
## SE          -1.18 0.49
## Capability   0.40 0.29
## -----
## group: 1
##      vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range skew
## ID       1 30 31.83 18.18     33   32.04 20.76 1   61  60 -0.09
## cond     2 30  1.00  0.00      1   1.00  0.00 1     1  0  NaN
## SE       3 30  6.80  2.17      7   7.00  1.48 1   10  9 -0.79
## Capability 4 30  5.02  1.75      5   4.98  1.48 2   10  8  0.45
##           kurtosis   se
## ID          -1.24 3.32
## cond         NaN 0.00
## SE          0.01 0.40
## Capability   0.43 0.32
testbetween(Capability_High$Capability,Capability_Low$Capability)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = 0, df = 58, p-value = 1
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.8637306 0.8637306
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##      5.016667      5.016667

```

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r

```

cor_VE_Capability_FormalizedSE <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_Capability_Formalized), type="pearson")
cor_VE_Capability_FormalizedSE$P

```

```

##           ID      cond          SE   Capability
## ID        NA 0.906411171 0.7013730560 0.3891614401
## cond     0.9064112       NA 0.0087534673 1.00000000000
## SE        0.7013731 0.008753467             NA 0.0006310292
## Capability 0.3891614 1.0000000000 0.0006310292             NA
cor_VE_Capability_FormalizedSE$P[3,4]

## [1] 0.0006310292
cor_VE_Capability_FormalizedSE$r

##           ID      cond          SE   Capability
## ID        1.000000000 0.01550298 -0.0505369 0.1131958
## cond     0.01550298 1.000000000 0.3356208 0.0000000
## SE        -0.05053690 0.33562075 1.0000000 0.4287516
## Capability 0.11319584 0.000000000 0.4287516 1.0000000
cor_VE_Capability_FormalizedSE$r[3,4]

## [1] 0.4287516

```

Virtual performance

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```

VE_VP<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,17,23)]
VP_High<-subset(VE_VP,cond==0)
VP_Low<-subset(VE_VP,cond==1)
describeBy(VE_VP,VE_VP$cond)

##
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##           vars   n   mean      sd median trimmed    mad min max range
## ID1          1 30 31.27 18.99      29  30.92 24.46    2  63   61
## cond         2 30  0.00  0.00      0   0.00  0.00    0   0    0
## self_efficacy_2 3 30  5.10  2.66      5   4.92  2.97    2  10    8
## performance_VE 4 30  6.90  2.35      7   7.08  2.97    2  10    8
##           skew kurtosis   se
## ID1          0.12    -1.43 3.47
## cond         NaN      NaN 0.00
## self_efficacy_2 0.42    -1.18 0.49
## performance_VE -0.41    -0.77 0.43
## -----
## group: 1
##           vars   n   mean      sd median trimmed    mad min max range
## ID1          1 30 31.83 18.18      33  32.04 20.76    1  61   60
## cond         2 30  1.00  0.00      1   1.00  0.00    1   1    0
## self_efficacy_2 3 30  6.80  2.17      7   7.00  1.48    1  10    9
## performance_VE 4 30  7.67  1.81      8   7.83  1.48    4  10    6
##           skew kurtosis   se
## ID1          -0.09    -1.24 3.32
## cond         NaN      NaN 0.00
## self_efficacy_2 -0.79     0.01 0.40
## performance_VE -0.74    -0.58 0.33

```

```

testbetween(VP_High$performance_VE, VP_Low$performance_VE)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = -1.4151, df = 58, p-value = 0.1624
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -1.8511559 0.3178226
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
## 6.900000 7.666667

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r
cor_VPSE <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_VP), type="pearson")
cor_VPSE$p

## ID1      cond self_efficacy_2 performance_VE
## ID1          NA 0.906411171    0.701373056   0.11404180
## cond          0.9064112          NA     0.008753467   0.16238896
## self_efficacy_2 0.7013731  0.008753467          NA   0.01110469
## performance_VE 0.1140418  0.162388958  0.011104694          NA
cor_VPSE$p[3,4]

## [1] 0.01110469
cor_VPSE$r

## ID1      cond self_efficacy_2 performance_VE
## ID1 1.00000000 0.01550298 -0.0505369 -0.2061523
## cond 0.01550298 1.00000000 0.3356208 0.1826836
## self_efficacy_2 -0.05053690 0.33562075 1.0000000 0.3256927
## performance_VE -0.20615227 0.18268358 0.3256927 1.0000000
cor_VPSE$r[3,4]

## [1] 0.3256927

```

Self-Identification (SID)

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```
VE_SID_Average<- data.frame(VE_SID$ID1,VE_SID$cond,rowMeans(VE_SID[3:9]))
```

```
colnames(VE_SID_Average) <- c("ID","cond","SID")
```

```
SID_High<-subset(VE_SID_Average,cond==0)
```

```
SID_Low<-subset(VE_SID_Average,cond==1)
```

```
describeBy(VE_SID_Average,VE_SID_Average$cond)
```

```
##
```

```
## Descriptive statistics by group
```

```
## group: 0
```

```
## vars n mean sd median trimmed mad min max range skew
```

```
## ID 1 30 31.27 18.99 29.00 30.92 24.46 2 63.00 61.00 0.12
```

```

## cond      2 30  0.00  0.00  0.00    0.00  0.00   0  0.00  0.00   NaN
## SID       3 30  6.62  1.52  6.79    6.70  1.38   3  9.29  6.29 -0.40
##          kurtosis   se
## ID        -1.43  3.47
## cond      NaN  0.00
## SID      -0.31  0.28
## -----
## group: 1
##      vars   n  mean     sd median trimmed   mad min max range skew kurtosis
## ID      1 30 31.83 18.18 33.00   32.04 20.76   1  61   60 -0.09   -1.24
## cond    2 30  1.00  0.00  1.00    1.00  0.00   1   1    0  NaN    NaN
## SID     3 30  4.31  1.80  4.64    4.43  1.48   0   7    7 -0.53   -0.49
##          se
## ID     3.32
## cond  0.00
## SID   0.33
testbetween(SID_High$SID,SID_Low$SID)

```

##

```

## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
## 
## Two Sample t-test
## 
## data: x by g
## t = 5.3729, df = 58, p-value = 1.434e-06
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  1.452083 3.176489
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##       6.623810      4.309524

```

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r

```

VE_SID_SE<-data.frame(VE_SID_Average,VirtualExperience[c(17)])
cor_SIDSE <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_SID_SE), type="pearson")
cor_SIDSE$p

```

```

##           ID      cond      SID self_efficacy_2
## ID      NA 9.064112e-01 1.377847e-01  0.701373056
## cond    0.9064112          NA 1.433820e-06  0.008753467
## SID     0.1377847 1.433820e-06          NA  0.669209529
## self_efficacy_2 0.7013731 8.753467e-03 6.692095e-01      NA
cor_SIDSE$p[3,4]

```

```

## [1] 0.6692095
cor_SIDSE$r

```

```

##           ID      cond      SID self_efficacy_2
## ID      1.00000000  0.01550298 -0.19385058 -0.05053690
## cond    0.01550298  1.00000000 -0.57647393  0.33562075
## SID     -0.19385058 -0.57647393  1.00000000 -0.05629603
## self_efficacy_2 -0.05053690  0.33562075 -0.05629603  1.00000000

```

```
cor_SIDSE$r[3,4]
```

```
## [1] -0.05629603
```

SUD

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```
VE_SUD<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,15,16,39,8,17,42)]
```

```
SUD_High<-subset(VE_SUD,cond==0)
```

```
SUD_Low<-subset(VE_SUD,cond==1)
```

```
describeBy(VE_SUD,VE_SUD$cond)
```

```
##
```

```
## Descriptive statistics by group
```

```
## group: 0
```

	vars	n	mean	sd	median	trimmed	mad	min	max	range
## ID1	1	30	31.27	18.99	29.0	30.92	24.46	2	63	61
## cond	2	30	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
## SUD_neutral	3	30	1.03	1.19	1.0	0.83	1.48	0	4	4
## SUD_VE	4	30	2.47	1.48	2.0	2.38	1.48	0	7	7
## SUD_lec	5	30	5.03	2.33	5.5	5.12	3.71	1	8	7
## self_efficacy_1	6	30	6.87	2.47	7.0	7.08	2.97	1	10	9
## self_efficacy_2	7	30	5.10	2.66	5.0	4.92	2.97	2	10	8
## self_efficacy_3	8	30	4.43	2.66	4.0	4.25	2.97	1	10	9
			skew	kurtosis	se					
## ID1			0.12	-1.43	3.47					
## cond			NaN	NaN	0.00					
## SUD_neutral			1.01	0.17	0.22					
## SUD_VE			0.80	1.06	0.27					
## SUD_lec			-0.18	-1.41	0.42					
## self_efficacy_1			-0.68	-0.47	0.45					
## self_efficacy_2			0.42	-1.18	0.49					
## self_efficacy_3			0.46	-0.95	0.49					

```
## -----
```

```
## group: 1
```

	vars	n	mean	sd	median	trimmed	mad	min	max	range
## ID1	1	30	31.83	18.18	33.0	32.04	20.76	1	61	60
## cond	2	30	1.00	0.00	1.0	1.00	0.00	1	1	0
## SUD_neutral	3	30	0.53	0.68	0.0	0.42	0.00	0	2	2
## SUD_VE	4	30	1.77	1.43	1.5	1.67	2.22	0	6	6
## SUD_lec	5	30	4.13	2.33	4.0	4.04	2.97	1	9	8
## self_efficacy_1	6	30	7.07	2.50	7.5	7.33	2.22	2	10	8
## self_efficacy_2	7	30	6.80	2.17	7.0	7.00	1.48	1	10	9
## self_efficacy_3	8	30	5.90	2.32	6.0	6.00	1.48	1	10	9
			skew	kurtosis	se					
## ID1			-0.09	-1.24	3.32					
## cond			NaN	NaN	0.00					
## SUD_neutral			0.83	-0.57	0.12					
## SUD_VE			0.74	0.39	0.26					
## SUD_lec			0.19	-1.15	0.43					
## self_efficacy_1			-0.74	-0.60	0.46					
## self_efficacy_2			-0.79	0.01	0.40					
## self_efficacy_3			-0.25	-0.31	0.42					

```

Ttest_SUD_neutral<-testbetween(SUD_High$SUD_neutral,SUD_Low$SUD_neutral)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = 1.9989, df = 58, p-value = 0.05031
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.0006977286 1.0006977286
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
## 1.0333333 0.5333333
p.adjust(Ttest_SUD_neutral$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=3)

## [1] 0.150929

Ttest_SUD_VE<-testbetween(SUD_High$SUD_VE,SUD_Low$SUD_VE)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = 1.8629, df = 58, p-value = 0.06754
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.05214448 1.45214448
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
## 2.466667 1.766667
p.adjust(Ttest_SUD_VE$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=3)

## [1] 0.2026102

Ttest_SUD_lec<-testbetween(SUD_High$SUD_lec,SUD_Low$SUD_lec)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = 1.4971, df = 58, p-value = 0.1398
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.3033929 2.1033929
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
## 5.033333 4.133333

```

```

p.adjust(Ttest_SUD_lec$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=3)

## [1] 0.4194042

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r

VE_SE_All<-VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,8,17,42)]
colnames(VE_SE_All) <- c("ID","cond","SE1","SE2","SE3")
VE_SE_All_Formalized<-melt(VE_SE_All, id=(c("ID","cond")))
#colnames(VE_SE_All_Formalized) <- c("ID","cond","Sesion","SE")

VE_SUD_All<-VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,15,16,39)]
colnames(VE_SUD_All) <- c("ID","cond","SUD1","SUD2","SUD3")
VE_SUD_All_Formalized<-melt(VE_SUD_All, id=(c("ID","cond")))
colnames(VE_SUD_All_Formalized) <- c("ID","cond","Sesion","SUD")
describeBy(VE_SUD_All_Formalized,VE_SUD_All_Formalized$cond)

##
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##          vars   n   mean      sd median trimmed     mad min max range skew
## ID        1 90 31.27 18.77      29  30.92 24.46    2   63   61 0.12
## cond      2 90  0.00  0.00      0   0.00  0.00    0    0    0  NaN
## Sesion*   3 90  2.00  0.82      2   2.00  1.48    1   3    2 0.00
## SUD       4 90  2.84  2.39      2   2.60  1.48    0   8    8 0.76
##          kurtosis   se
## ID        -1.36 1.98
## cond      NaN 0.00
## Sesion*  -1.53 0.09
## SUD       -0.45 0.25
## -----
## group: 1
##          vars   n   mean      sd median trimmed     mad min max range skew
## ID        1 90 31.83 17.97      33  32.04 20.76    1   61   60 -0.09
## cond      2 90  1.00  0.00      1   1.00  0.00    1    1    0  NaN
## Sesion*   3 90  2.00  0.82      2   2.00  1.48    1   3    2 0.00
## SUD       4 90  2.14  2.20      1   1.82  1.48    0   9    9 1.11
##          kurtosis   se
## ID        -1.16 1.89
## cond      NaN 0.00
## Sesion*  -1.53 0.09
## SUD       0.40 0.23

VE_SUD_All_Formalized_High<-subset(VE_SUD_All_Formalized,cond==0)
VE_SUD_All_Formalized_Low<-subset(VE_SUD_All_Formalized,cond==1)
testbetween(VE_SUD_All_Formalized_High$SUD,VE_SUD_All_Formalized_Low$SUD)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = 2.0445, df = 178, p-value = 0.04237
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:

```

```

##  0.02436116 1.37563884
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##          2.844444        2.144444

VE_SUD_SE<-cbind(VE_SUD_All_Formalized,VE_SE_All_Formalized)
VE_SUD_SEReordered<- VE_SUD_SE[order(VE_SUD_SE$ID),]
colnames(VE_SUD_SEReordered) <- c("ID","cond","SUDSession","SUD","ID","cond","SESession","SE")

VE_SUD_SEReordered$ID<-factor(VE_SUD_SEReordered$ID)
VE_SUD_SEReordered$cond<-factor(VE_SUD_SEReordered$cond)
rmcorr(participant = VE_SUD_SEReordered$ID, measure1 = VE_SUD_SEReordered$SUD, measure2 = VE_SUD_SEReord

##
## Repeated measures correlation
##
## r
## -0.4696557
##
## degrees of freedom
## 119
##
## p-value
## 5.496891e-08
##
## 95% confidence interval
## -0.5990131 -0.316408

```

HR

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```

VE_HR<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,58:60)]
HR_High<-subset(VE_HR,cond==0)
HR_Low<-subset(VE_HR,cond==1)
describeBy(VE_HR,VE_HR$cond)

##
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##      vars n  mean     sd median trimmed   mad    min    max range
## ID1       1 30 31.27 18.99  29.00   30.92 24.46  2.00  63.00 61.00
## cond      2 30  0.00  0.00   0.00    0.00 0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00
## HR_neutral 3 29 75.63 14.28  72.34   74.85 12.74  52.76 110.06 57.29
## HR_VE     4 29 84.57 14.65  83.28   84.35 17.25  57.34 113.04 55.70
## HR_lecture 5 28 87.85 12.80  83.72   86.95  9.72  67.14 116.16 49.03
##            skew kurtosis   se
## ID1       0.12    -1.43 3.47
## cond      NaN      NaN 0.00
## HR_neutral 0.60    -0.32 2.65
## HR_VE     0.20    -1.09 2.72
## HR_lecture 0.75    -0.54 2.42
## -----
## group: 1
##      vars n  mean     sd median trimmed   mad    min    max range
## ID1       1 30 31.83 18.18  33.00   32.04 20.76  1.00  61.00 60.00

```

```

## cond      2 30  1.00  0.00  1.00    1.00  0.00  1.00    1.00  0.00
## HR_neutral 3 30 74.24 10.14 73.34   74.20 10.27 54.16  92.56 38.40
## HR_VE     4 29 87.39 10.54 87.96   87.50  8.87 65.62 108.21 42.59
## HR_lecture 5 28 86.46 10.54 85.79   86.52  7.39 64.15 108.37 44.22
##          skew kurtosis   se
## ID1       -0.09    -1.24 3.32
## cond      NaN      NaN 0.00
## HR_neutral 0.10    -0.91 1.85
## HR_VE     -0.16    -0.54 1.96
## HR_lecture -0.01    0.00 1.99

```

```
Ttest_HR_neutral<-testbetween(HR_High$HR_neutral,HR_Low$HR_neutral)
```

```
##
```

```
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
```

```
##
```

```
## Two Sample t-test
```

```
##
```

```
## data: x by g
```

```
## t = 0.43324, df = 57, p-value = 0.6665
```

```
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
```

```
## 95 percent confidence interval:
```

```
## -5.046552 7.833144
```

```
## sample estimates:
```

```
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
```

```
##      75.63431      74.24101
```

```
p.adjust(Ttest_HR_neutral$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=3)
```

```
## [1] 1
```

```
Ttest_HR_VE<-testbetween(HR_High$HR_VE,HR_Low$HR_VE)
```

```
##
```

```
## Levene's test shows sign difference between the group variance, therefore Welch Two Sample t-test conducted
```

```
##
```

```
## Welch Two Sample t-test
```

```
##
```

```
## data: x by g
```

```
## t = -0.83921, df = 50.852, p-value = 0.4053
```

```
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
```

```
## 95 percent confidence interval:
```

```
## -9.539422 3.915404
```

```
## sample estimates:
```

```
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
```

```
##      84.57497      87.38698
```

```
p.adjust(Ttest_HR_VE$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=3)
```

```
## [1] 1
```

```
Ttest_HR_lec<-testbetween(HR_High$HR_lec,HR_Low$HR_lec)
```

```
##
```

```
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
```

```
##
```

```
## Two Sample t-test
```

```
##
```

```

## data: x by g
## t = 0.44113, df = 54, p-value = 0.6609
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -4.898417 7.662082
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
## 87.84554 86.46371
p.adjust(Ttest_HR_lec$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=3)

## [1] 1

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r
colnames(VE_HR) <- c("ID", "cond", "HR1", "HR2", "HR3")
VE_HR_All_Formalized<-melt(VE_HR, id=(c("ID", "cond")))
colnames(VE_HR_All_Formalized) <- c("ID", "cond", "Sesion", "HR")
describeBy(VE_HR_All_Formalized, VE_HR_All_Formalized$cond)

##
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##          vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed   mad    min    max range skew
## ID        1 90 31.27 18.77  29.00  30.92 24.46  2.00  63.00 61.0  0.12
## cond      2 90  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.0  NaN
## Sesion*   3 90  2.00  0.82  2.00  2.00  1.48  1.00  3.00  2.0  0.00
## HR        4 86 82.62 14.72  80.89  82.21 13.76 52.76 116.16 63.4  0.34
##          kurtosis   se
## ID        -1.36 1.98
## cond      NaN 0.00
## Sesion*  -1.53 0.09
## HR        -0.55 1.59
## -----
## group: 1
##          vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed   mad    min    max range skew
## ID        1 90 31.83 17.97  33.00  32.04 20.76  1.00  61.00 60.00 -0.09
## cond      2 90  1.00  0.00  1.00  1.00  0.00  1.00  1.00  0.00  NaN
## Sesion*   3 90  2.00  0.82  2.00  2.00  1.48  1.00  3.00  2.00  0.00
## HR        4 87 82.56 11.94  84.29  82.47 11.23 54.16 108.37 54.21 -0.06
##          kurtosis   se
## ID        -1.16 1.89
## cond      NaN 0.00
## Sesion*  -1.53 0.09
## HR        -0.47 1.28

VE_HR_All_Formalized_High<-subset(VE_HR_All_Formalized, cond==0)
VE_HR_All_Formalized_Low<-subset(VE_HR_All_Formalized, cond==1)
testbetween(VE_HR_All_Formalized_High$HR, VE_HR_All_Formalized_Low$HR)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = 0.03347, df = 171, p-value = 0.9733

```

```

## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -3.953394 4.089774
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##           82.62494          82.55675

VE_HR_SE<-cbind(VE_HR_All_Formalized,VE_SE_All_Formalized)
VE_HR_SEReordered<- VE_HR_SE[order(VE_HR_SE$ID),]
colnames(VE_HR_SEReordered) <- c("ID","cond","HRSession","HR","ID","cond","SESession","SE")

VE_HR_SEReordered$ID<-factor(VE_HR_SEReordered$ID)
VE_HR_SEReordered$cond<-factor(VE_HR_SEReordered$cond)
rmcorr(participant = VE_HR_SEReordered$ID, measure1 = VE_HR_SEReordered$HR, measure2 = VE_HR_SEReordered$SE)

##
## Repeated measures correlation
##
## r
## -0.1944432
##
## degrees of freedom
## 112
##
## p-value
## 0.03816898
##
## 95% confidence interval
## -0.3667661 -0.009220124

```

PR

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```

VE_PR_Average<-data.frame(VE_PR$ID,VE_PR$cond,rowMeans(VE_PR[3:5]),rowMeans(VE_PR[6:8]))
colnames(VE_PR_Average) <- c("ID","cond","VE","Post")
PR_High<-subset(VE_PR_Average,cond==0)
PR_Low<-subset(VE_PR_Average,cond==1)
describeBy(VE_PR_Average,VE_PR_Average$cond)

```

```

##
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##      vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad   min   max range skew
## ID       1 30 31.27 18.99    29.0   30.92 24.46  2.00 63.00 61.00  0.12
## cond     2 30  0.00  0.00     0.0    0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  NaN
## VE       3 30  4.58  2.10     4.5    4.65  2.22  0.00  8.67  8.67 -0.18
## Post     4 30  6.68  2.04     7.0    6.86  1.98  1.33 10.00  8.67 -0.72
##      kurtosis   se
## ID       -1.43 3.47
## cond      NaN 0.00
## VE       -0.72 0.38
## Post      0.05 0.37
## -----
## group: 1
##      vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad   min   max range skew

```

```

## ID      1 30 31.83 18.18 33.00 32.04 20.76 1.00 61 60.00 -0.09
## cond    2 30  1.00  0.00  1.00  1.00  0.00 1.00 1  0.00   NaN
## VE      3 30  3.83  2.54  4.00  3.74  1.73 0.00 10 10.00  0.10
## Post    4 30  6.37  2.05  6.67  6.47  2.47 1.33 10  8.67 -0.39
## kurtosis se
## ID      -1.24 3.32
## cond     NaN 0.00
## VE      -0.35 0.46
## Post    -0.49 0.37

Ttest_PR_VE<-testbetween(PR_High$VE, PR_Low$VE)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = 1.2362, df = 58, p-value = 0.2214
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.4609596 1.9498485
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##        4.577778       3.833333

p.adjust(Ttest_PR_VE$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=2)

## [1] 0.4427057

Ttest_PR_Post<-testbetween(PR_High$Post, PR_Low$Post)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = 0.58831, df = 58, p-value = 0.5586
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.7474377 1.3696600
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##        6.677778       6.366667

p.adjust(Ttest_PR_Post$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=2)

## [1] 1

VE_PRFormalized<-melt(VE_PR_Average, id=(c("ID", "cond")))
PR_HighFormalized<-subset(VE_PRFormalized, cond==0)
PR_LowFormalized<-subset(VE_PRFormalized, cond==1)
describeBy(VE_PRFormalized, VE_PRFormalized$cond)

##
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0

```

```

##          vars n  mean     sd median trimmed   mad min max range skew
## ID          1 60 31.27 18.83  29.00   30.92 24.46  2  63    61  0.12
## cond        2 60  0.00  0.00   0.00    0.00  0.00  0  0     0  NaN
## variable*   3 60  1.50  0.50   1.50    1.50  0.74  1  2     1  0.00
## value       4 60  5.63  2.31   5.67    5.73  2.47  0 10    10 -0.35
##          kurtosis   se
## ID          -1.38 2.43
## cond         NaN 0.00
## variable*  -2.03 0.07
## value       -0.61 0.30
## -----
## group: 1
##          vars n  mean     sd median trimmed   mad min max range skew
## ID          1 60 31.83 18.02  33.0   32.04 20.76  1  61    60 -0.09
## cond        2 60  1.00  0.00   1.0    1.00  0.00  1  1     0  NaN
## variable*   3 60  1.50  0.50   1.5    1.50  0.74  1  2     1  0.00
## value       4 60  5.10  2.62   5.0    5.20  2.47  0 10    10 -0.28
##          kurtosis   se
## ID          -1.18 2.33
## cond         NaN 0.00
## variable*  -2.03 0.07
## value       -0.53 0.34

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r

VE_PR_Formalized<-melt(VE_PR_Average, id=(c("ID", "cond")))
colnames(VE_PR_Formalized) <- c("ID", "cond", "Session", "PR")

VE_SE_VEPost<-VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,17,42)]
colnames(VE_SE_VEPost) <- c("ID", "cond", "VE", "Post")
VE_SE_VEPost_Formalized<-melt(VE_SE_VEPost, id=(c("ID", "cond")))

VE_PR_SE<-cbind(VE_PR_Formalized,VE_SE_VEPost_Formalized)
VE_PR_SEReordered<- VE_PR_SE[order(VE_PR_SE$ID),]
colnames(VE_PR_SEReordered) <- c("ID", "cond", "PRSession", "PR", "ID", "cond", "SESession", "SE")

VE_PR_SEReordered$ID<-factor(VE_PR_SEReordered$ID)
VE_PR_SEReordered$cond<-factor(VE_PR_SEReordered$cond)
rmcorr(participant = VE_PR_SEReordered$ID, measure1 = VE_PR_SEReordered$PR, measure2 = VE_PR_SEReordered$SE)

##
## Repeated measures correlation
##
## r
## -0.1808057
##
## degrees of freedom
## 59
##
## p-value
## 0.1631829
##
## 95% confidence interval
## -0.4175547 0.07893119

```

Self-efficacy

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```

VE_SE<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,8,17,42,48)]
SE_High<-subset(VE_SE,cond==0)
SE_Low<-subset(VE_SE,cond==1)
describeBy(VE_SE,VE_SE$cond)

## 
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##           vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range
## ID1          1 30 31.27 18.99    29.0   30.92 24.46    2   63   61
## cond         2 30  0.00  0.00     0.0    0.00  0.00     0    0    0
## self_efficacy_1 3 30  6.87  2.47     7.0    7.08  2.97     1   10    9
## self_efficacy_2 4 30  5.10  2.66     5.0    4.92  2.97     2   10    8
## self_efficacy_3 5 30  4.43  2.66     4.0    4.25  2.97     1   10    9
## self_efficacy_4 6 30  6.37  1.61     6.5    6.46  2.22     2    9    7
##           skew kurtosis   se
## ID1          0.12    -1.43 3.47
## cond         NaN      NaN 0.00
## self_efficacy_1 -0.68    -0.47 0.45
## self_efficacy_2  0.42    -1.18 0.49
## self_efficacy_3  0.46    -0.95 0.49
## self_efficacy_4 -0.49    -0.07 0.29
## -----
## group: 1
##           vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range
## ID1          1 30 31.83 18.18    33.0   32.04 20.76    1   61   60
## cond         2 30  1.00  0.00     1.0    1.00  0.00     1    1    0
## self_efficacy_1 3 30  7.07  2.50     7.5    7.33  2.22     2   10    8
## self_efficacy_2 4 30  6.80  2.17     7.0    7.00  1.48     1   10    9
## self_efficacy_3 5 30  5.90  2.32     6.0    6.00  1.48     1   10    9
## self_efficacy_4 6 30  6.77  1.72     7.0    6.92  1.48     2   10    8
##           skew kurtosis   se
## ID1          -0.09   -1.24 3.32
## cond         NaN      NaN 0.00
## self_efficacy_1 -0.74    -0.60 0.46
## self_efficacy_2 -0.79     0.01 0.40
## self_efficacy_3 -0.25    -0.31 0.42
## self_efficacy_4 -0.84     0.74 0.31

SE_VEPost_High<-subset(VE_SE_VEPost,cond==0)
SE_VEPost_Low<-subset(VE_SE_VEPost,cond==1)

VE_SEFormalized<-melt(VE_SE, id=(c("ID1","cond")))
colnames(VE_SEFormalized) <- c("ID", "cond", "Session", "SE")
SE_HighFormalized<-subset(VE_SEFormalized,cond==0)
SE_LowFormalized<-subset(VE_SEFormalized,cond==1)
describeBy(VE_SEFormalized,VE_SEFormalized$cond)

## 
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##           vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range   skew

```

```

## ID      1 120 31.27 18.75   29.0   30.92 24.46   2   63   61  0.12
## cond    2 120  0.00  0.00    0.0    0.00 0.00    0   0    0  NaN
## Session* 3 120  2.50  1.12    2.5    2.50 1.48    1   4    3  0.00
## SE      4 120  5.69  2.55    6.0    5.73 2.97    1   10   9 -0.12
##          kurtosis se
## ID      -1.35 1.71
## cond    NaN 0.00
## Session* -1.39 0.10
## SE      -1.05 0.23
## -----
## group: 1
##       vars n  mean     sd median trimmed   mad min max range skew
## ID      1 120 31.83 17.94   33.0   32.04 20.76   1  61   60 -0.09
## cond    2 120  1.00  0.00    1.0    1.00 0.00    1   1    0  NaN
## Session* 3 120  2.50  1.12    2.5    2.50 1.48    1   4    3  0.00
## SE      4 120  6.63  2.22    7.0    6.80 1.48    1   10   9 -0.63
##          kurtosis se
## ID      -1.15 1.64
## cond    NaN 0.00
## Session* -1.39 0.10
## SE      -0.10 0.20

Ttest_SE_S1<-testbetween(SE_High$self_efficacy_1,SE_Low$self_efficacy_1)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = -0.3112, df = 58, p-value = 0.7568
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -1.486447 1.086447
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
## 6.866667 7.066667

p.adjust(Ttest_SE_S1$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=3)

## [1] 1

Ttest_SE_S2<-testbetween(SE_High$self_efficacy_2,SE_Low$self_efficacy_2)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = -2.7134, df = 58, p-value = 0.008753
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -2.9541183 -0.4458817
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2

```

```

##          5.1          6.8
cat("\n## No correction was made for this t-test comparison on the data collected after vicarious experie
## 
## ## No correction was made for this t-test comparison on the data collected after vicarious experie
cat("\n## However, even with a posthoc correction for all the phases, the result remained significant as sh
## 
## ## However, even with a posthoc correction for all the phases, the result remained significant as sh
p.adjust(Ttest_SE_S2$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=3)

## [1] 0.0262604
Ttest_SE_S3<-testbetween(SE_High$self_efficacy_3,SE_Low$self_efficacy_3)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = -2.2735, df = 58, p-value = 0.02671
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -2.7579972 -0.1753361
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##           4.433333      5.900000
p.adjust(Ttest_SE_S3$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=3)

## [1] 0.08014381
Ttest_SE_S4<-testbetween(SE_High$self_efficacy_4,SE_Low$self_efficacy_4)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = -0.93177, df = 58, p-value = 0.3553
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -1.2593203  0.4593203
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##           6.366667      6.766667
p.adjust(Ttest_SE_S4$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=3)

## [1] 1
testbetween(SE_HighFormalized$SE,SE_LowFormalized$SE)

##
## Levene's test shows sign difference between the group variance, therefore Welch Two Sample t-test co

```

```

## 
## Welch Two Sample t-test
## 
## data: x by g
## t = -3.052, df = 233.36, p-value = 0.002537
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -1.5495569 -0.3337765
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##           5.691667          6.633333

```

Self-esteem

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```
VE_SEEsteem<- VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,10,18)]
```

```
SEsteem_High<-subset(VE_SEEsteem,cond==0)
```

```
SEsteem_Low<-subset(VE_SEEsteem,cond==1)
```

```
describeBy(VE_SEEsteem,VE_SEEsteem$cond)
```

```
##
```

```
## Descriptive statistics by group
```

```
## group: 0
```

	vars	n	mean	sd	median	trimmed	mad	min	max	range	skew
## ID1	1	30	31.27	18.99	29.0	30.92	24.46	2	63	61	0.12
## cond	2	30	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	NaN
## self_esteem	3	30	20.87	5.49	20.0	21.21	5.93	6	30	24	-0.56
## self_esteem_2	4	30	21.03	5.89	21.5	21.54	6.67	6	30	24	-0.69
			kurtosis	se							
## ID1			-1.43	3.47							
## cond			NaN	0.00							
## self_esteem			0.04	1.00							
## self_esteem_2			-0.08	1.08							
## -----											

```
## group: 1
```

	vars	n	mean	sd	median	trimmed	mad	min	max	range	skew
## ID1	1	30	31.83	18.18	33.0	32.04	20.76	1	61	60	-0.09
## cond	2	30	1.00	0.00	1.0	1.00	0.00	1	1	0	NaN
## self_esteem	3	30	22.50	4.08	23.0	22.67	4.45	15	29	14	-0.34
## self_esteem_2	4	30	22.90	4.66	22.5	23.04	5.19	10	30	20	-0.46
			kurtosis	se							
## ID1			-1.24	3.32							
## cond			NaN	0.00							
## self_esteem			-1.11	0.75							
## self_esteem_2			-0.08	0.85							

```
VE_SEEsteemFormalized<-melt(VE_SEEsteem, id=(c("ID1","cond")))
```

```
colnames(VE_SEEsteemFormalized) <- c("ID", "cond", "Session", "SEsteem")
```

```
SEsteem_HighFormalized<-subset(VE_SEEsteemFormalized,cond==0)
```

```
SEsteem_LowFormalized<-subset(VE_SEEsteemFormalized,cond==1)
```

```
describeBy(VE_SEEsteemFormalized,VE_SEEsteemFormalized$cond)
```

```
##
```

```
## Descriptive statistics by group
```

```
## group: 0
```

```

##          vars n  mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range skew
## ID        1 60 31.27 18.83   29.0  30.92 24.46    2  63    61  0.12
## cond      2 60  0.00  0.00     0.0  0.00 0.00     0  0     0  NaN
## Session*  3 60  1.50  0.50     1.5  1.50 0.74     1  2     1  0.00
## SEsteem   4 60 20.95  5.65   21.0  21.40 5.93     6 30    24 -0.64
##          kurtosis se
## ID        -1.38 2.43
## cond      NaN 0.00
## Session* -2.03 0.07
## SEsteem   0.08 0.73
## -----
## group: 1
##          vars n  mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range skew
## ID        1 60 31.83 18.02   33.0  32.04 20.76    1  61    60 -0.09
## cond      2 60  1.00  0.00     1.0  1.00 0.00     1  1     0  NaN
## Session*  3 60  1.50  0.50     1.5  1.50 0.74     1  2     1  0.00
## SEsteem   4 60 22.70  4.35   22.5  22.88 5.19    10 30    20 -0.41
##          kurtosis se
## ID        -1.18 2.33
## cond      NaN 0.00
## Session* -2.03 0.07
## SEsteem   -0.35 0.56

```

```
Ttest_SEsteem_S1<-testbetween(SEsteem_High$self_esteem,SEsteem_Low$self_esteem)
```

```
##
```

```

## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
## 
## Two Sample t-test
## 
## data: x by g
## t = -1.3078, df = 58, p-value = 0.1961
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -4.1332627 0.8665961
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
## 20.86667 22.50000

```

```
p.adjust(Ttest_SEsteem_S1$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=2)
```

```
## [1] 0.3921851
```

```
Ttest_SEsteem_S2<-testbetween(SEsteem_High$self_esteem_2,SEsteem_Low$self_esteem_2)
```

```
##
```

```

## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
## 
## Two Sample t-test
## 
## data: x by g
## t = -1.3605, df = 58, p-value = 0.1789
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -4.6130168 0.8796835
## sample estimates:
```

```

## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##          21.03333      22.90000
p.adjust(Ttest_SEsteem_S2$p.value, method = "bonferroni", n=2)

## [1] 0.3578417
testbetween(SEsteem_HighFormalized$SEsteem, SEsteem_LowFormalized$SEsteem)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = -1.9018, df = 118, p-value = 0.05964
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -3.57221343 0.07221343
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##          20.95      22.70

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r

VE_SE_PreVE<-VirtualExperience[1:60,c(1,3,8,17)]
colnames(VE_SE_PreVE) <- c("ID", "cond", "Pre", "VE")
VE_SE_PreVE_Formalized<-melt(VE_SE_PreVE, id=(c("ID", "cond")))

colnames(VE_SEEsteem) <- c("ID", "cond", "Pre", "VE")
VE_SEEsteem_Formalized<-melt(VE_SEEsteem, id=(c("ID", "cond")))

VE_SEEsteem_SE<-cbind(VE_SEEsteem_Formalized, VE_SE_PreVE_Formalized)
VE_SEEsteem_SEReordered<- VE_SEEsteem_SE[order(VE_SEEsteem_SE$ID),]
colnames(VE_SEEsteem_SEReordered) <- c("ID", "cond", "SEsteemSession", "SEsteem", "ID", "cond", "SESession", "S

VE_SEEsteem_SEReordered$ID<-factor(VE_SEEsteem_SEReordered$ID)
VE_SEEsteem_SEReordered$cond<-factor(VE_SEEsteem_SEReordered$cond)
rmcorr(participant = VE_SEEsteem_SEReordered$ID, measure1 = VE_SEEsteem_SEReordered$SEsteem, measure2 = V

##
## Repeated measures correlation
##
## r
## 0.1814858
##
## degrees of freedom
## 59
##
## p-value
## 0.1615792
##
## 95% confidence interval
## -0.07823239 0.4181351

```

Presentation Performance

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```
VE_PP_Average<-data.frame(VE_PP$ID,VE_PP$cond, rowMeans(VE_PP[3:4]),VE_SE$self_efficacy_3)
colnames(VE_PP_Average) <- c("ID", "cond", "PP", "SEVE")
```

```
PP_High<-subset(VE_PP_Average,cond==0)
```

```
PP_Low<-subset(VE_PP_Average,cond==1)
```

```
describeBy(VE_PP_Average,VE_PP_Average$cond)
```

```
##
```

```
## Descriptive statistics by group
```

```
## group: 0
```

	vars	n	mean	sd	median	trimmed	mad	min	max	range	skew	kurtosis
## ID	1	30	31.27	18.99	29.0	30.92	24.46	2	63	61	0.12	-1.43
## cond	2	30	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	NaN	NaN
## PP	3	30	4.38	2.41	4.5	4.27	2.22	1	10	9	0.35	-0.73
## SEVE	4	30	4.43	2.66	4.0	4.25	2.97	1	10	9	0.46	-0.95

```
##
```

```
## se
```

```
## ID 3.47
```

```
## cond 0.00
```

```
## PP 0.44
```

```
## SEVE 0.49
```

```
## -----
```

```
## group: 1
```

	vars	n	mean	sd	median	trimmed	mad	min	max	range	skew	kurtosis
## ID	1	30	31.83	18.18	33.00	32.04	20.76	1	61	60	-0.09	-1.24
## cond	2	30	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1	1	0	NaN	NaN
## PP	3	30	5.07	2.01	5.25	5.15	2.22	0	9	9	-0.40	-0.08
## SEVE	4	30	5.90	2.32	6.00	6.00	1.48	1	10	9	-0.25	-0.31

```
##
```

```
## se
```

```
## ID 3.32
```

```
## cond 0.00
```

```
## PP 0.37
```

```
## SEVE 0.42
```

```
testbetween(PP_High$PP,PP_Low$PP)
```

```
##
```

```
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
```

```
##
```

```
## Two Sample t-test
```

```
##
```

```
## data: x by g
```

```
## t = -1.1924, df = 58, p-value = 0.238
```

```
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
```

```
## 95 percent confidence interval:
```

```
## -1.8304421 0.4637755
```

```
## sample estimates:
```

```
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
```

```
## 4.383333 5.066667
```

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r

```
cor_PPSE <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_PP_Average), type="pearson")
```

```
cor_PPSE$P
```

```

##           ID      cond          PP      SEVE
## ID       NA 0.9064112 5.275638e-01 7.635033e-01
## cond    0.9064112       NA 2.379507e-01 2.671460e-02
## PP      0.5275638 0.2379507       NA 2.601874e-11
## SEVE   0.7635033 0.0267146 2.601874e-11       NA
cor_PPSE$P[3,4]

## [1] 2.601874e-11

cor_PPSE$r

##           ID      cond          PP      SEVE
## ID       1.00000000 0.01550298 -0.08316387 0.03966295
## cond    0.01550298 1.00000000 0.15468835 0.28605221
## PP      -0.08316387 0.15468835 1.00000000 0.73363964
## SEVE   0.03966295 0.28605221 0.73363964 1.00000000
cor_PPSE$r[3,4]

## [1] 0.7336396

```

Speech length

- Mean and Standard deviation of high self-identification condition and low self-identification condition

```

Length_High<-subset(Length,cond==0)
Length_Low<-subset(Length,cond==1)
describeBy(Length,Length$cond)

## 
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##      vars n  mean     sd median trimmed   mad min max range skew
## cond     1 30  0.00  0.00      0  0.00  0.00  0  0  0  0  NaN
## ID       2 30 31.27 18.99     29 30.92 24.46  2 63 61 0.12
## Time     3 30  6.23  1.57      6  6.17  1.48  3 10  7 0.35
## Length   4 30 374.00 94.12    360 370.00 88.96 180 600 420 0.35
##      kurtosis   se
## cond      NaN  0.00
## ID        -1.43 3.47
## Time      -0.16 0.29
## Length    -0.16 17.18
## -----
## group: 1
##      vars n  mean     sd median trimmed   mad min max range skew
## cond     1 30  1.00  0.00      1  1.00  0.00  1  1  0  0  NaN
## ID       2 30 31.83 18.18     33 32.04 20.76  1 61 60 -0.09
## Time     3 30  5.80  1.19      6  5.75  1.48  3  9  6 0.37
## Length   4 30 348.00 71.17    360 345.00 88.96 180 540 360 0.37
##      kurtosis   se
## cond      NaN  0.00
## ID        -1.24 3.32
## Time      0.62 0.22
## Length    0.62 12.99
testbetween(Length_High$Length,Length_Low$Length)

```

```

## 
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
## 
## Two Sample t-test
## 
## data: x by g
## t = 1.2068, df = 58, p-value = 0.2324
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -17.12472 69.12472
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##           374           348

*Correlation with self-efficacy measured at the same phase, Pearson's r

LengthSE<-cbind(Length[1:60,c(1,2,4)],VirtualExperience[1:60,c(42)])
colnames(LengthSE) <- c("cond","ID","Length","SE")
cor_LengthSE <- rcorr(as.matrix(LengthSE), type="pearson")
cor_LengthSE$P

##          cond      ID    Length      SE
## cond      NA 0.9064112 0.2323928 0.0267146
## ID       0.9064112      NA 0.7740462 0.7635033
## Length   0.2323928 0.7740462      NA 0.3313345
## SE       0.0267146 0.7635033 0.3313345      NA

cor_LengthSE$P[3,4]

## [1] 0.3313345

cor_LengthSE$r

##          cond      ID    Length      SE
## cond     1.00000000 0.01550298 -0.15651295 0.28605221
## ID       0.01550298 1.00000000  0.03784552 0.03966295
## Length   -0.15651295 0.03784552  1.00000000 -0.12758134
## SE       0.28605221 0.03966295 -0.12758134  1.00000000

cor_LengthSE$r[3,4]

## [1] -0.1275813

```

Section 5.1 Manipulation check

- Comparision between the conditions on people's self-identification

```

testbetween(SID_High$SID,SID_Low$SID)

## 
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test cond
## 
## Two Sample t-test
## 
## data: x by g
## t = 5.3729, df = 58, p-value = 1.434e-06
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:

```

```

##  1.452083 3.176489
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##       6.623810      4.309524

• Correlation between ITQ and Self-identification

VE_SID_ITQ<-data.frame(VE_SID_Average,VE_IQT$ITQ)
cor_SIDITQ_High <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_SID_ITQ[VE_SID_ITQ$cond=="0",]), type="pearson")
cor_SIDITQ_Low <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_SID_ITQ[VE_SID_ITQ$cond=="1",]), type="pearson")
cat("\n## The correlation check for High self-identification condition\n")

##
## ## The correlation check for High self-identification condition
cor_SIDITQ_High$P

##           ID cond      SID VE_IQT.ITQ
## ID          NA  NaN 0.8502806  0.7705434
## cond        NaN  NA  NaN   NaN
## SID         0.8502806  NaN  NA  0.5788306
## VE_IQT.ITQ 0.7705434  NaN 0.5788306    NA

cor_SIDITQ_High$P[3,4]

## [1] 0.5788306
cor_SIDITQ_High$r

##           ID cond      SID VE_IQT.ITQ
## ID          1.000000000  NaN -0.03598053 -0.05557048
## cond        NaN     1  NaN   NaN
## SID         -0.03598053  NaN  1.00000000  0.10554682
## VE_IQT.ITQ -0.05557048  NaN  0.10554682  1.00000000

cor_SIDITQ_High$r[3,4]

## [1] 0.1055468
cat("\n## The correlation check for Low self-identification condition\n")

##
## ## The correlation check for Low self-identification condition
cor_SIDITQ_Low$P

##           ID cond      SID VE_IQT.ITQ
## ID          NA  NaN 0.03008534  0.4090598
## cond        NaN  NA  NaN   NaN
## SID         0.03008534  NaN  NA  0.1329532
## VE_IQT.ITQ 0.40905985  NaN 0.13295320    NA

cor_SIDITQ_Low$P[3,4]

## [1] 0.1329532
cor_SIDITQ_Low$r

##           ID cond      SID VE_IQT.ITQ
## ID          1.0000000  NaN -0.3964541 -0.1564400
## cond        NaN     1  NaN   NaN

```

```

## SID      -0.3964541  NaN  1.0000000  0.2807061
## VE_IQT.ITQ -0.1564400  NaN  0.2807061  1.0000000
cor_SIDITQ_Low$r[3,4]

## [1] 0.2807061

```

Section 5.2 Overall analyses on self-efficacy across the phases

- using Bayesian within-subject mediation package: bmlm

```

VE_SEFormalized$ID<-factor(VE_SEFormalized$ID)
VE_SEFormalized$cond<-factor(VE_SEFormalized$cond)

```

```

Sudmodel_SE<-ezANOVA(data=VE_SEFormalized,dv=SE,wid=ID,within=Session,between=cond,detailed = TRUE, type=III)
print_ezANOVA(Sudmodel_SE)

```

```

## $ANOVA
##          Effect DFn DFd      SSn      SSD       F      p p<.05
## 1  (Intercept)    1   58 9114.33750 717.2083 737.068367 1.153232e-34      *
## 2        cond     1   58   53.20417 717.2083   4.302574 4.250319e-02      *
## 3      Session    3  174  110.81250 506.0250 12.701201 1.514451e-07      *
## 4 cond:Session    3  174   25.41250 506.0250   2.912751 3.590846e-02      *
##          ges
## 1 0.88167111
## 2 0.04168176
## 3 0.08306499
## 4 0.02035205
##
## $`Mauchly's Test for Sphericity`
##          Effect      W      p p<.05
## 3      Session 0.8180424 0.0441659      *
## 4 cond:Session 0.8180424 0.0441659      *
##
## $`Sphericity Corrections`
##          Effect      GGe      p[GG] p[GG]<.05      HFe      p[HF]
## 3      Session 0.9019771 5.212485e-07      * 0.9503728 2.830432e-07
## 4 cond:Session 0.9019771 4.139137e-02      * 0.9503728 3.858410e-02
##      p[HF]<.05
## 3      *
## 4      *
##
## (Intercept) : eta  0.9270503
## cond : eta  0.06905932
## Session : eta  0.1796462
## cond:Session : eta  0.04781842
## see ges-value for Generalized Eta-Squared)
##
## Greenhouse-Geisser corrected Dfs.
## Session numerator 2.705931 , denominator 156.944
## cond:Session numerator 2.705931 , denominator 156.944

```

- compare cross different measurement moments

```

SE_SE1<-subset(VE_SEFormalized,Session=="self_efficacy_1")
SE_SE2<-subset(VE_SEFormalized,Session=="self_efficacy_2")

```

```

SE_SE3<-subset(VE_SEFormalized,Session=="self_efficacy_3")

t.test(SE_SE1$SE,SE_SE2$SE,paired = TRUE, alternative = "two.sided")

##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: SE_SE1$SE and SE_SE2$SE
## t = 2.9766, df = 59, p-value = 0.004222
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.3332104 1.7001229
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 1.016667

t.test(SE_SE1$SE,SE_SE3$SE,paired = TRUE, alternative = "two.sided")

##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: SE_SE1$SE and SE_SE3$SE
## t = 5.0734, df = 59, p-value = 4.168e-06
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 1.090068 2.509932
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 1.8

t.test(SE_SE2$SE,SE_SE3$SE,paired = TRUE, alternative = "two.sided")

##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: SE_SE2$SE and SE_SE3$SE
## t = 2.2901, df = 59, p-value = 0.02561
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.09888485 1.46778181
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 0.7833333

cat("\n## The Bonferroni correction for post-hoc tests\n")

##
## ## The Bonferroni correction for post-hoc tests
pairwise.t.test(VE_SEFormalized$SE,VE_SEFormalized$Session, p.adjust.method="bonferroni",paired=TRUE,po

##
## Pairwise comparisons using paired t tests
##
## data: VE_SEFormalized$SE and VE_SEFormalized$Session
##
## self_efficacy_1 self_efficacy_2 self_efficacy_3

```

```

## self_efficacy_2 0.025      -      -
## self_efficacy_3 2.5e-05    0.154    -
## self_efficacy_4 0.972     0.296    7.0e-06
##
## P value adjustment method: bonferroni
• compare the two conditions for different measurement moments
t.test(SE_High$self_efficacy_1,SE_High$self_efficacy_2,paired = TRUE,alternative = "two.sided")

##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: SE_High$self_efficacy_1 and SE_High$self_efficacy_2
## t = 3.9806, df = 29, p-value = 0.0004217
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.8589598 2.6743735
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 1.766667

pairwise.t.test(SE_HighFormalized$SE,SE_HighFormalized$Session,p.adjust.method="bonferroni",paired=TRUE)

##
## Pairwise comparisons using paired t tests
##
## data: SE_HighFormalized$SE and SE_HighFormalized$Session
##
##          self_efficacy_1 self_efficacy_2 self_efficacy_3
## self_efficacy_2 0.0025      -      -
## self_efficacy_3 4.7e-06    0.4887    -
## self_efficacy_4 1.0000    0.0221   3.9e-05
##
## P value adjustment method: bonferroni
t.test(SE_Low$self_efficacy_1,SE_Low$self_efficacy_2,paired = TRUE,alternative = "two.sided")

##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: SE_Low$self_efficacy_1 and SE_Low$self_efficacy_2
## t = 0.54555, df = 29, p-value = 0.5895
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.7330453 1.2663786
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 0.2666667

pairwise.t.test(SE_LowFormalized$SE,SE_LowFormalized$Session,p.adjust.method="bonferroni",paired=TRUE,p

```

```

##          self_efficacy_1 self_efficacy_2 self_efficacy_3
## self_efficacy_2 1.00          -          -
## self_efficacy_3 0.32          0.80          -
## self_efficacy_4 1.00          1.00          0.13
##
## P value adjustment method: bonferroni

```

Section 5.3 Moderating effect of self-identification

Section 5.3.1. Performance in the vicarious experience and self-efficacy afterwards

```

cor_VPSE <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_VP), type="pearson")
cat("\n## The correlation check\n")

```

```

##
## ## The correlation check
cor_VPSE$P

##           ID1      cond self_efficacy_2 performance_VE
## ID1          NA 0.906411171   0.701373056   0.11404180
## cond         0.9064112       NA   0.008753467   0.16238896
## self_efficacy_2 0.7013731 0.008753467       NA   0.01110469
## performance_VE 0.1140418 0.162388958   0.011104694       NA
cor_VPSE$P[3,4]

```

```

## [1] 0.01110469

```

```

cor_VPSE$r

##           ID1      cond self_efficacy_2 performance_VE
## ID1          1.00000000 0.01550298   -0.0505369   -0.2061523
## cond         0.01550298 1.00000000    0.3356208   0.1826836
## self_efficacy_2 -0.05053690 0.33562075    1.0000000   0.3256927
## performance_VE -0.20615227 0.18268358    0.3256927   1.0000000
cor_VPSE$r[3,4]

```

```

## [1] 0.3256927

```

```

cor_VPSE_High <- rcorr(as.matrix(VP_High), type="pearson")
cat("\n## The correlation check\n")

```

```

##
## ## The correlation check
cor_VPSE_High$P

##           ID1 cond self_efficacy_2 performance_VE
## ID1          NA  NaN   0.415448265   0.314757302
## cond         NaN  NA          NaN          NaN
## self_efficacy_2 0.4154483  NaN          NA   0.001977179
## performance_VE 0.3147573  NaN   0.001977179       NA
cor_VPSE_High$P[3,4]

```

```

## [1] 0.001977179

```

```

cor_VPSE_High$r

##           ID1 cond self_efficacy_2 performance_VE
## ID1      1.0000000  NaN    -0.1543423   -0.1899329
## cond        NaN     1       NaN        NaN
## self_efficacy_2 -0.1543423  NaN    1.0000000   0.5419842
## performance_VE  -0.1899329  NaN    0.5419842   1.0000000
cor_VPSE_High$r[3,4]

## [1] 0.5419842

cor_VPSE_Low <- rcorr(as.matrix(VP_Low), type="pearson")
cat("\n## The corrlation check\n")

## 
## ## The corrlation check
cor_VPSE_Low$P

##           ID1 cond self_efficacy_2 performance_VE
## ID1      NA  NaN    0.7447462   0.1892949
## cond      NaN  NA       NaN        NaN
## self_efficacy_2 0.7447462  NaN       NA   0.5172046
## performance_VE  0.1892949  NaN    0.5172046       NA
cor_VPSE_Low$P[3,4]

## [1] 0.5172046

cor_VPSE_Low$r

##           ID1 cond self_efficacy_2 performance_VE
## ID1      1.0000000  NaN    0.06201957  -0.2464145
## cond        NaN     1       NaN        NaN
## self_efficacy_2 0.06201957  NaN    1.0000000  -0.1230230
## performance_VE -0.24641454  NaN   -0.12302304   1.0000000
cor_VPSE_Low$r[3,4]

## [1] -0.123023

CompparedCorrleration_VPSE<-paired.r(cor_VPSE_High$r[3,4], cor_VPSE_Low$r[3,4], yz=NULL, 30, n2=NULL,two
CompparedCorrleration_VPSE$p

## [1] 2.684433

CompparedCorrleration_VPSE$p

## [1] 0.007265285

```

Section 5.3.2. Self-efficacy

```

cor_SE_VEPost_High <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_SE_VEPost[VE_SE_VEPost$cond=="0",]), type="pearson")
cor_SE_VEPost_Low <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_SE_VEPost[VE_SE_VEPost$cond=="1",]), type="pearson")
cor_SE_VEPost_High$P

##           ID cond          VE          Post
## ID      NA  NaN 4.154483e-01 9.359095e-01
## cond      NaN  NA       NaN        NaN

```

```

## VE    0.4154483  NaN          NA  1.082337e-05
## Post  0.9359095  NaN 1.082337e-05          NA
cor_SE_VEPost_High$P[3,4]

## [1] 1.082337e-05
cor_SE_VEPost_High$r

##           ID cond        VE      Post
## ID     1.00000000  NaN -0.1543423 -0.01533182
## cond     NaN     1       NaN       NaN
## VE    -0.15434231  NaN  1.0000000  0.71061710
## Post   -0.01533182  NaN  0.7106171  1.00000000
cor_SE_VEPost_High$r[3,4]

## [1] 0.7106171
cor_SE_VEPost_Low$P

##           ID cond        VE      Post
## ID      NA  NaN 0.7447462 0.6021201
## cond     NaN  NA  NaN       NaN
## VE     0.7447462  NaN       NA 0.9828531
## Post   0.6021201  NaN  0.9828531       NA
cor_SE_VEPost_Low$P[3,4]

## [1] 0.9828531
cor_SE_VEPost_Low$r

##           ID cond        VE      Post
## ID     1.00000000  NaN  0.062019572 0.099164276
## cond     NaN     1       NaN       NaN
## VE     0.06201957  NaN  1.000000000 -0.004098017
## Post   0.09916428  NaN -0.004098017  1.000000000
cor_SE_VEPost_Low$r[3,4]

## [1] -0.004098017
CompparedCorrleration_SE<-paired.r(cor_SE_VEPost_High$r[3,4], cor_SE_VEPost_Low$r[3,4], yz=NULL, 30, n2=
CompparedCorrleration_SE$z

## [1] 3.279355
CompparedCorrleration_SE$p

## [1] 0.001040446

```

Section 5.3.3 Presence response

```

VE_PR_Formalized$ID<-factor(VE_PR_Formalized$ID)
VE_PR_Formalized$cond<-factor(VE_PR_Formalized$cond)

ezANOVA(data=VE_PR_Formalized, dv=PR, wid=ID, within=Session, between=cond)

## $ANOVA
##      Effect DFn DFd          F      p <.05      ges

```

```

## 2           cond   1  58  1.3157498 2.560661e-01      0.014734477
## 3     Session   1  58 49.0423632 2.897048e-09      * 0.223687985
## 4 cond:Session   1  58  0.4289716 5.150833e-01      0.002514029

t.test(PR_High$VE,PR_High$post,paired = TRUE)

##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: PR_High$VE and PR_High$post
## t = -7.0421, df = 29, p-value = 9.571e-08
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -2.709901 -1.490099
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
##                               -2.1

#t.test(PR ~ Session, data = VE_PR_Formalized[VE_PR_Formalized$cond==1,], paired = TRUE)
t.test(PR_Low$VE,PR_Low$post,paired = TRUE)

##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: PR_Low$VE and PR_Low$post
## t = -4.2894, df = 29, p-value = 0.0001814
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -3.741253 -1.325414
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
##                               -2.533333

cor_PR_VEPPost_High <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_PR_Average[VE_PR_Average$cond=="0",]), type="pearson")
cor_PR_VEPPost_Low <- rcorr(as.matrix(VE_PR_Average[VE_PR_Average$cond=="1",]), type="pearson")

cor_PR_VEPPost_High$P

##
##          ID cond          VE          Post
## ID       NA  NaN 6.563978e-01 7.889526e-01
## cond      NaN  NA  NaN        NaN
## VE      0.6563978  NaN  NaN 2.475654e-05
## Post    0.7889526  NaN 2.475654e-05        NA

cor_PR_VEPPost_High$P[3,4]

## [1] 2.475654e-05
cor_PR_VEPPost_High$r

##
##          ID cond          VE          Post
## ID      1.00000000  NaN -0.08467773 -0.05100532
## cond      NaN      1  NaN        NaN
## VE      -0.08467773  NaN  1.00000000  0.68979881
## Post    -0.05100532  NaN  0.68979881  1.00000000

cor_PR_VEPPost_High$r[3,4]

```

```

## [1] 0.6897988
cor_PR_VEPost_Low$p

##           ID cond        VE      Post
## ID       NA  NaN 0.08273719 0.7236325
## cond     NaN  NA  NaN      NA
## VE      0.08273719  NaN  NA 0.9156381
## Post    0.72363245  NaN 0.91563810  NA
cor_PR_VEPost_Low$p[3,4]

## [1] 0.9156381
cor_PR_VEPost_Low$r

##           ID cond        VE      Post
## ID      1.0000000  NaN -0.32195386 -0.06734810
## cond     NaN  1  NaN      NA
## VE      -0.3219539  NaN  1.00000000  0.02019627
## Post    -0.0673481  NaN  0.02019627  1.00000000
cor_PR_VEPost_Low$r[3,4]

## [1] 0.02019627
CompparedCorrleration_PR<-paired.r(cor_PR_VEPost_High$r[3,4], cor_PR_VEPost_Low$r[3,4], yz=NULL, 30, n2=1)
CompparedCorrleration_PR$z

## [1] 3.039962
CompparedCorrleration_PR$p

## [1] 0.002366081

```

Section 5.4 Potential effect of skin colour on self-efficacy

```

VE_SE_LightSkin<- VirtualExperience_LightSkin[1:52,c(1,3,8,17,42,48)]
SE_High_LightSkin<-subset(VE_SE_LightSkin,cond==0)
SE_Low_LightSkin<-subset(VE_SE_LightSkin,cond==1)
describeBy(VE_SE_LightSkin,VE_SE_LightSkin$cond)

##
## Descriptive statistics by group
## group: 0
##           vars   n   mean      sd median trimmed   mad min max range
## ID1          1 26 32.85 18.53    31.5  32.68 25.20    2  63   61
## cond         2 26  0.00  0.00     0.0  0.00  0.00     0  0    0
## self_efficacy_1 3 26  6.81  2.43     7.0  7.00  2.22     1 10    9
## self_efficacy_2 4 26  4.85  2.60     4.5  4.68  3.71     2 10    8
## self_efficacy_3 5 26  4.27  2.43     4.0  4.14  2.22     1  9    8
## self_efficacy_4 6 26  6.35  1.57     6.5  6.45  2.22     2  9    7
##                  skew kurtosis   se
## ID1            0.07    -1.41 3.63
## cond          NaN      NaN 0.00
## self_efficacy_1 -0.71    -0.33 0.48
## self_efficacy_2  0.49    -1.12 0.51
## self_efficacy_3  0.42    -0.90 0.48
## self_efficacy_4 -0.62     0.15 0.31

```

```

## -----
## group: 1
##          vars   n   mean     sd median trimmed    mad min max range
## ID1           1 26 34.69 17.63   35.5   35.27 17.05   1  61   60
## cond          2 26  1.00  0.00    1.0    1.00  0.00   1   1    0
## self_efficacy_1 3 26  7.27  2.43    8.0    7.50  1.48   2  10    8
## self_efficacy_2 4 26  6.88  2.16    7.0    7.05  1.48   1  10    9
## self_efficacy_3 5 26  5.69  2.31    6.0    5.77  1.48   1  10    9
## self_efficacy_4 6 26  6.62  1.77    7.0    6.73  1.48   2  10    8
##                  skew kurtosis   se
## ID1            -0.32    -1.03 3.46
## cond            NaN      NaN 0.00
## self_efficacy_1 -0.82    -0.38 0.48
## self_efficacy_2 -0.86     0.27 0.42
## self_efficacy_3 -0.27    -0.38 0.45
## self_efficacy_4 -0.73     0.43 0.35

testbetween(SE_High_LightSkin$self_efficacy_1,SE_High_LightSkin$self_efficacy_2)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = 2.8069, df = 50, p-value = 0.007111
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  0.5579261 3.3651508
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##       6.807692      4.846154

testbetween(SE_Low_LightSkin$self_efficacy_1,SE_Low_LightSkin$self_efficacy_2)

##
## Levene's test shows no sign difference between the group variance, therefore Two Sample t-test conducted
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: x by g
## t = 0.60377, df = 50, p-value = 0.5487
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.8948838 1.6641145
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##       7.269231      6.884615

```

R version information

This analysis has been runned with following R version.

R version 3.4.2 (2017-09-28)

Platform: x86_64-apple-darwin15.6.0 (64-bit)

locale: en_US.UTF-8||en_US.UTF-8||en_US.UTF-8||C||en_US.UTF-8||en_US.UTF-8

attached base packages: *stats, graphics, grDevices, utils, datasets, methods* and *base*

other attached packages: *cocor(v.1.1-3), rmccorr(v.0.3.0), Hmisc(v.4.2-0), Formula(v.1.2-3), afex(v.0.23-0), ez(v.4.4-0), lme4(v.1.1-21), Matrix(v.1.2-17), coin(v.1.3-0), survival(v.2.44-1.1), outliers(v.0.14), lsr(v.0.5), dplyr(v.0.7.4), ggpubr(v.0.2.2), magrittr(v.1.5), pwr(v.1.2-2), psych(v.1.8.12), MASS(v.7.3-51.4), lattice(v.0.20-38), reshape(v.0.8.8), nlme(v.3.1-131), ggplot2(v.3.2.1), car(v.2.1-5), foreign(v.0.8-72) and pander(v.0.6.3)*

loaded via a namespace (and not attached): *splines(v.3.4.2), assertthat(v.0.2.1), stats4(v.3.4.2), latticeExtra(v.0.6-28), yaml(v.2.2.0), backports(v.1.1.4), numDeriv(v.2016.8-1.1), pillar(v.1.4.2), quantreg(v.5.34), glue(v.1.3.1), digest(v.0.6.20), checkmate(v.1.9.4), RColorBrewer(v.1.1-2), ggsignif(v.0.6.0), minqa(v.1.2.4), colorspace(v.1.4-1), sandwich(v.2.5-1), htmltools(v.0.3.6), plyr(v.1.8.4), pkgconfig(v.2.0.2), SparseM(v.1.77), mvtnorm(v.1.0-6), scales(v.1.0.0), MatrixModels(v.0.4-1), htmlTable(v.1.13.1), tibble(v.2.1.3), mgcv(v.1.8-28), TH.data(v.1.0-10), withr(v.2.1.2), nnet(v.7.3-12), lazyeval(v.0.2.2), cli(v.1.1.0), pbkrtest(v.0.4-7), mnormt(v.1.5-5), crayon(v.1.3.4), evaluate(v.0.14), fansi(v.0.4.0), data.table(v.1.10.4-3), tools(v.3.4.2), matrixStats(v.0.54.0), multcomp(v.1.4-7), stringr(v.1.4.0), munsell(v.0.5.0), cluster(v.2.0.6), bindrcpp(v.0.2.2), compiler(v.3.4.2), rlang(v.0.4.0), grid(v.3.4.2), nloptr(v.1.2.1), rstudioapi(v.0.10), htmlwidgets(v.1.3), base64enc(v.0.1-3), rmarkdown(v.1.15), boot(v.1.3-23), gtable(v.0.3.0), codetools(v.0.2-16), lmerTest(v.3.1-0), reshape2(v.1.4.3), R6(v.2.4.0), gridExtra(v.2.3), zoo(v.1.8-6), knitr(v.1.24), zeallot(v.0.1.0), utf8(v.1.1.4), bindr(v.0.1.1), libcoin(v.1.0-4), modeltools(v.0.2-22), stringi(v.1.4.3), parallel(v.3.4.2), Rcpp(v.1.0.2), vctrs(v.0.2.0), rpart(v.4.1-15), acepack(v.1.4.1) and xfun(v.0.8)*