

Erratum

Automatic Mechanism for Measuring Subjective Unit of Discomfort

Page 195:

Table 2: Mean, Median (IQR) and Inter-observer agreement of the relative frequency for five dialog replies in three conditions. Should read:

Measure	Dialog Dependent	Speech Dependent	Context Independent	Inter-observer agreement (<i>r</i>)
Details answer	0.31, 0.23 (0.43)	0.23, 0.17 (0.23)	0.18, 0.18 (0.29)	0.72 – 0.88
Normal answer*	0.40, 0.31 (0.55)	0.31, 0.29 (0.52)	0.29, 0.23 (0.43)	0.81 – 0.91
Simple/ short answer	0.23, 0.16 (0.26)	0.30, 0.28 (0.43)	0.21, 0.23 (0.18)	0.75 – 0.84
“Don’t know” answer	0.06, 0.00 (0.00)	0.16, 0.00 (0.25)	0.16, 0.00 (0.29)	0.93 – 0.98
“Lost in the dialog” **	0.00, 0.00 (0.00)	0.00, 0.00 (0.00)	0.16, 0.00 (0.27)	0.95 – 0.98

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.001$

Page 195: paragraph 2, the fourth line should read:

No significant effect was found for the timing mechanism on participants’ replies in the detail answer, simple/ short answer and “don’t know” answer measures.

Page 195: paragraph 2, the fifth line should read:

A significant effect was found in the normal answer ($\chi^2(2) = 6.20, p = 0.045$).

Page 195: paragraph 2, the eighth line should read:

In the “normal answer”, only a difference between dialog dependent and context independent ($Z = -1.89, p = 0.059$) timing mechanism was found that approached the significant level of 0.05.

Page 195, paragraph 2, the 12th line should read:

($Z = -2.95, p = 0.003$).

Page 195, paragraph 2, the 13th line should read:

($Z = -2.95, p = 0.003$).